

Message

From: Johnson, KarenD [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=46CEA12EF12D486FBB7DBAC57D947095-KJOHNS10]
Sent: 2/23/2016 5:26:42 PM
To: Kier, Lori [Kier.Lori@epa.gov]
CC: Field, Stephen [Field.Stephen@epa.gov]; Reinhart, Roger [Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov]; Jamieson, Cheryl [Jamieson.Cheryl@epa.gov]; rogers, rick [rogers.rick@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: PFOA/PFOS

Good thank you.

From: Kier, Lori
Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2016 12:17 PM
To: Jamieson, Cheryl ; Field, Stephen ; Johnson, KarenD ; rogers, rick ; Reinhart, Roger
Cc: Coe, Mary
Subject: RE: PFOA/PFOS

Agreed. Change made to share point verison.

Lori Kier
Senior Assistant Regional Counsel
US EPA Region III
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 814-2656
kier.lori@epa.gov

From: Jamieson, Cheryl
Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2016 11:48 AM
To: Kier, Lori <Kier.Lori@epa.gov>; Field, Stephen <Field.Stephen@epa.gov>; Johnson, KarenD <Johnson.KarenD@epa.gov>; rogers, rick <rogers.rick@epa.gov>; Reinhart, Roger <Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov>
Cc: Coe, Mary <Coe.Mary@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: PFOA/PFOS

I think now that the Administrator has gotten a letter she probably should come first in the thank you sentence something like thank you for your letters to EPA Administor Gina McCarthy, and EPA Regions 3, 4 and 5

From: Kier, Lori
Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2016 11:43 AM
To: Field, Stephen <Field.Stephen@epa.gov>; Jamieson, Cheryl <Jamieson.Cheryl@epa.gov>; Johnson, KarenD <Johnson.KarenD@epa.gov>; rogers, rick <rogers.rick@epa.gov>; Reinhart, Roger <Reinhart.Roger@epa.gov>
Cc: Coe, Mary <Coe.Mary@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: PFOA/PFOS

Attached is my proposed revision to the Bilott letter -- incorporating Cheryl and Karen's comments as well as converting it to a more "one EPA" response since I believe that is what we are now expected to do (see email exchanges below). Please let me know if everyone is on board with this; if so, then I think the next step will be for Steve to share it with Cecil and Shawn.

(The letter "resides" on sharepoint but I am not the owner of the document so do not have "rights" to share it with everyone).

Senior Assistant Regional Counsel
US EPA Region III
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 814-2656
kier.lori@epa.gov

Betsy: Can you have someone work with Lisa Huff to provide the explanation of the HA numbers that Region 3 has asked for below?

Jon (or Rick): Can you let us know who in Region 3 we should be working with to provide input to on this response.

Thanks in advance

Eric Burneson, P.E.
Director of Standards and Risk Management
Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
US Environmental Protection Agency

Phone: 202-564-5250
Fax: 202 564 3760

From: Grevatt, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2016 9:12 AM
To: Burneson, Eric <Burneson.Eric@epa.gov>
Subject: Fwd: PFOA/PFOS

FYI

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Beauvais, Joel" <Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov>
Date: February 23, 2016 at 7:41:02 AM EST
To: "Garvin, Shawn" <garvin.shawn@epa.gov>
Cc: "Fritz, Matthew" <Fritz.Matthew@epa.gov>, "Stanislaus, Mathy" <Stanislaus.Mathy@epa.gov>, "Meiburg, Stan" <Meiburg.Stan@epa.gov>, "Rupp, Mark" <Rupp.Mark@epa.gov>, "Giles-AA, Cynthia" <Giles-AA.Cynthia@epa.gov>, "Wilson, Shari" <Wilson.Shari@epa.gov>, "Grevatt, Peter" <Grevatt.Peter@epa.gov>, "Southerland, Elizabeth" <Southerland.Elizabeth@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: PFOA/PFOS

Ok we can provide text on that. Thx.

Joel

On Feb 23, 2016, at 12:44 AM, Garvin, Shawn <garvin.shawn@epa.gov> wrote:

Joel - Thanks. I am currently in Seattle, so we are at least working from the same time zone.

I spoke to Shari late on Friday, and we concluded since we had multiple letters from the same person all related to PFOA/PFOS, it would make sense to have one letter come from the Agency. If the Agency thinks it is best to do a number of individual responses, we can put those together. The foundation for these letters, or at least the Washington

Works letter, is how to explain the HA numbers. If OW can provide us with that language, I think we can fill in the rest.

Thanks - Shawn

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 22, 2016, at 5:02 PM, Beauvais, Joel <Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov> wrote:

Hi, Shawn - I reviewed the correspondence and discussed with Matt and Cynthia. With the exception of the letter to R4 (discussed below), the incoming letters focus primarily on the March 2009 Consent Order with DuPont and Mr. Bilott's request that EPA revise the order. I believe that Cynthia has already discussed that request with you and Bob K and possible response to it.

OW would be happy to help out with developing and/or review language discussing the Health Advisory and the process for revising it, but it seems the best way to respond to these inquiries is to handle them as site-specific and focused on the Consent Order, which would be best done from the Regions (3&5). I would expect that that the response would

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

I am out in CA for the remainder of the week, but happy to discuss as needed.

Joel

From: Garvin, Shawn

Sent: Friday, February 19, 2016 6:51 PM

To: Beauvais, Joel <Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov>; Fritz, Matthew <Fritz.Matthew@epa.gov>

Cc: Stanislaus, Mathy <Stanislaus.Mathy@epa.gov>; Meiburg, Stan <Meiburg.Stan@epa.gov>; Rupp, Mark <Rupp.Mark@epa.gov>; Giles-AA, Cynthia <Giles-AA.Cynthia@epa.gov>; Wilson, Shari <Wilson.Shari@epa.gov>

Subject: PFOA/PFOS

Joel & Matt - I understand that there are a number of letters coming into the Agency from Mr. Robert A. Bilott. I am aware of ones in Regions 3, 4 & 5, and I would not be surprised if there were more. Recently, a letter was also sent to the Administrator. Based on this, I am recommending that OW take the lead on coordinating a response to Mr. Bilott. Please let me know how you would like to proceed.

Thank you - Shawn